



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $(C_6H_{10}Si_2F_4)Fe(C_6H_8)(CO)_2$ and $(C_6H_{10}Si_2F_4)W(C_6H_8)(CO)_3.$

Fe(CO)₄ complex formed initially.⁴ One plausible reaction mechanism which would account for all the intermediates and products observed experimentally can be proposed in Scheme I.

It is interesting to note that when $W(CO)_6$ was used instead of Fe(CO)₅, only one product, **3a**, was obtained. The intermediate in the reaction of $W(CO)_6$ was also isolated and characterized as compound 7.6



Since both compound 5 and compound 7 can be obtained as single crystals, X-ray diffraction experiments were carried out.⁷ The structures are shown in Figure 1. In the case of 5, the iron-disilacycle five-membered ring is puckered in such a way that two silicon atoms are located within 2.80-3.20 Å to carbon 1 of the cyclohexadiene ring. This steric relationship, in turn, facilitates bond formation between either of the Si atoms and the cyclohexadiene ring. On the other hand, the structure of 7 shows that the tungsten-disilacycle ring is flat and oriented nearly perpendicular to the cyclohexadiene ring so that only one silicon atom is in the vicinity (~ 3.0 Å) of carbon 1, whereas the other silicon atom (near the tert-butyl group) is located very far away (>5 Å) from any of the four diene carbons of the cyclohexadiene ring. The structural difference between intermediates 5 and 7 seems to offer an explanation for the fact that 5 led to both isomers whereas 7 lead to only one. The fact that 7 led to only 3a on thermal decomposition is entirely in agreement with the reaction mechanism proposed above.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Chinese National Science Council for financial support to this work. C.H.L. thanks the

Nuclear Energy Research Institute for a research fellowship. Mass and NMR data for 2, 3a,b, 4, 5, and 6 (8 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Supplementary Material Available: Mass and NMR data for 2, 3a,b, 4, 5, and 6 (8 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Aldehydes, Alcohols, and Enol Acetates via Reductive Homologation of Esters

Conrad J. Kowalski* and M. Serajul Haque[†]

Synthetic Chemistry Department Smith Kline and French Laboratories Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101 Received October 31, 1985

Recently we published a new method for the homologation of esters via alkynolate anion intermediates (i.e., $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$).¹ Herein we report that these electron-rich alkynolate anions, on refluxing with 1,3- and 1,4-cyclohexadienes in THF under strongly basic conditions, undergo an unprecedented reduction to afford aldehyde enolate anions 4. These enolates (4) have been trapped as the corresponding enol acetates 7 after quenching with acetic



anhydride (see Table I). Only trans-enol acetates were obtained, except for the alkynyl case in which 22 was formed as a nearly 1:1 ratio of cis and trans isomers. The reaction was successful for esters 1 having attached R groups which were primary, secondary, aryl, and alkynyl; it failed in the tertiary (R = CMe_2CH_2Ph) and conjugated alkene (R = CH=CHPh) cases, however, which afforded no alkynolate reduction and a complex mixture of products, respectively.

(2) Yields (based on starting esters) reported in Table I are for isolated, purified product, except for the GC yield provided for aldehyde 19. Starting esters were purchased from commercial sources except for 28b, which was prepared in 74% yield from 28a using the original homologation procedure.¹

(3) Spectroscopic data (IR, NMR, and MS) and combustion analyses for

(4) Occolowitz, J. L. Aust. J. Chem. 1967, 20, 2387.
(5) Hands, A. R.; Mercer, A. J. H. J. Chem. Soc. C 1968, 2448.
(6) Twice the amounts of lithium tetramethylpiperidine, n-butyllithium, and CH₂Br₂ called for in the general procedure¹⁴ were used in forming the alternate again. alkynolate anion. (7) Taguchi, T.; Takigawa, T.; Towara, Y.; Morikawa, T.; Kobayashi, Y.

Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 5689.

(8) MacInnes, I.; Nonhebel, D. C.; Orszulik, S. T.; Suckling, C. J. J. Chem.

Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 2777. (9) Kikukawa, K.; Naritomi, M.; He, G.; Wada, F.; Matsuda, T. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 299.

(10) Merrill, R. E.; Allen, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 1019.

11) Fetizon, M.; Lazare, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1978, 842.

(12) Svirskaya, P. I.; Leznoff, C. C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1979, 24, 152.

0002-7863/86/1508-1325\$01.50/0 © 1986 American Chemical Society

[†]Smith Kline & French Postdoctoral Research Scientist.

⁽¹⁾ Kowalski, C. J.; Haque, M. S.; Fields, K. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1429.

Table I. Reductive Homologation Products (Yields)²

starting ester	enol acetate	aldehyde	alcohol	····
Ph CO ₂ Et	Dh OAc	Рр СНО	PhOH	
9	10 ³ (70%)	11 ⁴ (68%)	12 ⁵ (70%)	
Υ.	w. · ·		~~ · ·	
C02Et		СНО	OH	
\bigcup	\bigcirc	\smile	\bigtriangledown	
13 ⁶	14 ⁷ (68%)	<u>بر</u> \$ (50%)	<u>ال</u> و8 (66%)	
PhCO _p Et	Ph	Рр-СНО	Ph OH	
17.	1,8 ⁹ (65%)	12 (55%) ²	ZQ (64%)	
n-C ₄ H ₉ C≡ C-CO ₂ Et	n-C ₄ H ₉ -C≡C	_	n-c ₄ H ₉ -c≡c 0H	
21	E&Z-22 ³ (48%)		23 ¹⁰ (44%)	
LOME	LOME	LOME	LOMe OU	
CO ₂ Me	OAC OAC	СНО	UH	
242 [endo] ⁶	25a [endo] ³ (64%)	26а [endo] ³ (61%)	27a [endo] ³ (57%)	
ي [exo]6	ຽ [exo] ³ (60%)		55%) [exo] (55%)	
co. 54				
	(CH2)n UNC	СНО	Un	
~	n-c5H11	n-C ₅ H ₁₁	n-c ₅ H ₁₁	
28a [n=1]	29a $[n=1]^3$ (77%)	30 ¹¹ (64%)	31 ¹² (74%)	
ų [n=2]	<u>لا دا دی</u> (۵۶۸)			

Quenching solutions of enolate anions 4 from these reductions with aqueous acid afforded aldehydes 6 directly, but the yields were very poor (e.g., 22% for $9 \rightarrow 11$). Since these conditions seemed too vigorous for the sensitive aldehyde functionality, the reactions were first quenched with ClSiMe₃ to generate silyl enol ethers 5. Although these silyl ethers could be isolated (e.g., in 71% yield starting from ester 9), they could also be hydrolyzed in situ. By stirring with aqueous acid, or shaking with *n*-Bu₄NF, they afforded aldehydes 6 in moderate yields (see Table I). Only the extremely sensitive aldehyde,¹³ expected from alkynyl ester 21, could not be obtained. Alternatively, when the enolate solutions were quenched into methanolic NaBH₄, the aldehydes 6 were rapidly reduced to the primary alcohols (i.e., $4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 8$). The yields of alcohols 8 were generally similar to those of the corresponding enol acetates 7 (see Table I).

Studies are under way to elucidate the mechanism of these alkynolate anion reductions, and will subsequently be reported. It is worth noting, however, that 1,3-cyclohexadiene could be successfully replaced by the 1,4-isomer, or by inexpensive α - or γ -terpinene, but cyclohexene did not effect reduction. It is also noteworthy that if oxygen entered the reaction vessel upon addition of the diene, the reduction sometimes proceeded slowly or not at all. Addition of more base (*n*-butyllithium) always led to reaction in such cases; in fact, some of the more sluggish reductions (i.e., **13** and **24**) were clearly accelerated (e.g., from 20 to 1 h) by using extra (dibromomethyl)lithium and *n*-butyllithium in the alkynolate formation procedure.⁶

This novel reduction procedure¹⁴ greatly extends the scope of our original homologation chemistry. Esters can now be homologated directly, not just to esters $1 \rightarrow 3$ but also to the corresponding aldehydes 6 and alcohols 8. In addition, this chemistry provides a method of generating aldehyde enolate anions 4.¹⁵ enol

(15) Ladjama, D.; Riehl, J. J. Synthesis 1979, 504.

⁽¹³⁾ This aldehyde has been reported to be extremely sensitive by: Barbot, F.; Miginiac, P. Synthesis 1983, 651.

⁽¹⁴⁾ General Procedure. Under a N_2 atmosphere, 4.4 mmol of *n*-butyl-lithium in hexane was added dropwise to a stirred, 0 °C solution of 4.8 mmol of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine in 6 mL of THF. This mixture was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 4.4 mmol of dibromomethane in 6 mL of THF, cooled, with a -90 °C bath (dry ice/diethyl ether). After 5 min, a solution of 2.0 mmol of the starting ester 1 in 4 mL of THF was added dropwise, and 5 min later a solution of 10 mmol of *n*-butyllithium in hexane was added dropwise. The mixture was warmed by using a room temperature bath and stirred for 30 min; 20 mmol of 1,3-cyclohexadiene was added with careful exclusion of oxygen, and the mixture was refluxed for 30-60 min. The resulting enolate anion (4) was then quenched as follows to afford: Enol Acetates 7. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and 3 mL of acetic anhydride was added. After warming to room temperature for 5 min, the mixture was diluted with 200 mL of ether and washed with cold 2% H₂SO₄, 5% NaHCO₃, and brine. The product was purified by preparative TLC or flash chromatography on silica gel. Alcohols 8. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and added via cannula to a stirred, freshly prepared, 0 °C solution of 10 mmol of NaBH₄ in 10 mL of methanol. After 30 min, the mixture was diluted with 200 mL of ether, washed with water, and then further washed and purified as described above. Aldehydes 6. The solution was cooled to -90 °C and 3 mL of chlorotrimethylsilane (stored over CaH_2) was added. For aldehydes 11 and 30, 10 mL of ether was added and the mixture was warmed to 0 °C, after which 15 mL of 10% HCl was added. After stirring for 10 min, the mixture was diluted with 200 mL of ether and worked up as above. For all other aldehydes, the quenched enolate solution was warmed to room temperature, diluted with 250 mL of petroleum ether, and washed with water and then 10% H_2SO_4 . The solution was then shaken vigorously for 10 min with 8 mL of 1 M n-Bu₄NF in THF, washed with three 30-mL portions of water, and then further washed and purified as described above

acetates 7,¹⁶ and silyl enol ethers 5. Since alkynolate anion formation occurs with complete retention of starting ester stereochemistry,¹ the derived reduction products are also formed with retained stereochemistry; thus, the endo ester 24a affords only endo products 25a, 26a, and 27a, while exo ester 24b affords only exo products. The extended scope of products afforded by the remarkable reduction reaction reported herein, coupled with the stereochemical integrity of the original homologation chemistry, combine to provide a broad new methodology which should be useful in organic synthesis.

Supplementary Material Available: Spectroscopic and analytical data for 10-12, 14, 18, (E)- and (Z)-22, 23, 25a,b, 26a, 27a,b, 28b, 29a,b, 30, and 31 (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any masthead page.

(16) For references to the general preparation of enol esters, see: Mitsudo, T.; Yoshihisa, Y. H.; Watanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1566.

Synthesis of Eight-Membered Carbocycles via Intramolecular $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ Photocycloaddition of Alkenyltropones

Ken S. Feldman,* Jon H. Come, Alan J. Freyer, Ben J. Kosmider, and Cynthia M. Smith

> Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 Received October 15, 1985

The synthesis of carbocyclic natural products containing a bicyclo[6.3.0]undecane skeleton has been of considerable interest recently.1 Approaches to the preparation of the cyclooctane portion of these structures have utilized acyclic closure,1k fragmentation,^{1f,g} and ring expansion^{1c-e} strategies. Herein, we report the direct construction of the bicyclo[6.3.0]undecane nucleus via a novel $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ intramolecular photochemical cycloaddition reaction.26

A straightforward symmetry "allowed" photochemical cycloaddition of a linearly conjugated 6π -electron system with a 2π electron moiety (eq 1) would certainly fall victim to alternative



modes of reaction, such as electrocyclization of the triene or $[2\pi$ + 2π] cycloaddition.² One 6π -electron system in which these

(2) (a) Woodward, R. B.; Hoffman, R. "The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry" Academic Press: West Berlin, 1970. (b) Mori, A.; Takeshita, H. Chem. Lett. 1975, 599. (c) Bellus, D.; Helferich, G.; Weis, C. D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1971, 54, 463. (d) See also ref 3f. (e) Yang, N. C.; Chiang, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3163. (f) Houk has recently reported the the thermal intermediate when feed. thermal intramolecular $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ cycloaddition of cross-conjugated trienes with enamines to yield triquinanes. Houk, K. N.; Wu, T.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5308.





undesired reaction pathways are minimized is tropone (1b).^{3,4} Tropone and its derivatives have a rich photochemical history, although $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ cycloaddition with a simple alkene has not been reported.3-5

We recognized that a successful $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ cycloaddition reaction would depend critically on the alkene intercepting an excited state of tropone in the desired regiochemical orientation prior to the intervention of other unwanted photochemical processes.^{3,4} An intramolecular variant of this tropone/alkene cycloaddition strategy seemed a reasonable way to provide sufficient kinetic and regiochemical advantages to allow this reaction to occur.

In fact, when we irradiated alkenyltropone 3 in various aprotic solvents complex reaction mixtures resulted from which only minor amounts of cycloadducts were isolated. However, upon irradiation in *acidic* methanol, the desired intramolecular $[6\pi + 2\pi]$ cycloaddition reaction proceeded smoothly to produce adduct 5 along with lesser amounts of the isomeric [8 + 2] adduct 6⁵ (eq 2). The



requirement for acid implicates the hydroxytropylium ion 4 as the 6π -electron component in this cycloaddition and represents one of the novel features of this process.

In a typical experiment, a 20 mM solution of alkenyltropone 3^6 in 4:1 methanol/1 M H₂SO₄ was irradiated at room temper-

^{(1) (}a) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Bershas, J. P.; Clardy, J.; Solheim, B. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3630. (b) Das, T. K.; Dutta, P. C. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 253. (c) Paquette, L. A.; Andrews, D. R.; Colapret, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 201. (d) Gadwood, R. C.; Lett, R. M.; Wissinger, J. E. J. Am. 1985, 50, 201. (d) Gadwood, R. C.; Lett, R. M.; Wissinger, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3869. (e) Danheiser, R. L.; Gee, S. K.; Sard, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7670. (f) Coates, R. M.; Senter, P. D.; Baker, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3597. (g) Dauben, W. G.; Hart, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 922. (h) Pattenden, G.; Teague, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 3021. (i) Mehta, G.; Murty, N. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1058. (j) Grayson, D. W., Wilson, J. R. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1695. (k) Takeshita, H.; Kato, N.; Nakanishi, K.; Tagoshi, H.; Hatsui, T. Chem. Lett. 1984, 1495. (l) Gadwood, R. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 123. Chem. Commun. 1985, 123

^{(3) (}a) Mukai, T.; Tsuruta, H.; Takeshita, A.; Watanabe, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 4065. (b) Tezuka, T.; Akasaki, Y.; Mukai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 1397. (c) Kende, A. S.; Lancaster, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, (d) Tezuka, T.; Akasaki, Y.; Mukai, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1967, 503.
 (e) Mukai, T.; Tezuka, T.; Akasaki, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 5025.
 (f) Kende, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 5026.
 (4) (a) For example, see: Kobayashi, T.; Hirai, T.; Tsunetsugo, J.; Hayashi, H.; Nozoe, T., *Tetrahedron* 1975, 31, 1483 and references cited therein.

⁽b) van Tamelen, E. E.; Greeley, R. H.; Schumacher, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 6151.

⁽⁵⁾ Intermolecular [8 + 2] photoaddition of alkenes to tropone has been described: Cantrell, T. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 907 and references cited therein.